

# Some Geometric Properties of a Class of Univalent Functions with Negative Coefficients Defined by Hadamard Product with Fractional Calculus I

**Hussein Jaber Abdul Hussein**

Department of Mathematics and Computer applications, College of Sciences  
University of Al-Muthanna, Samawa, Iraq  
Hussein\_almaaly77@yahoo.com

**Rafid Habib Buti**

Department of Mathematics and Computer applications, College of Sciences  
University of Al-Muthanna, Samawa, Iraq  
Rafidhb@yahoo.com

## Abstract

In this paper , we study a subclass of functions which are univalent and analytic functions in the unit disk  $U$  . We obtain coefficient estimates , distortion bounds and some results.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45

**Keywords:** Univalent Function , Hadamard Product , Distortion Bounds

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  denote the class of the functions defined by

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n . \quad (1)$$

Which are univalent and analytic in the unit disk  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . We defined a subclass  $K$  of  $\Omega$  consisting of functions defined by

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (a_n \geq 0) \quad (2)$$

A function  $f(z)$  belong to the class  $H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  if satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(G(f_*g)(z))' + \lambda z^2(G(f_*g)(z))''}{(1-\lambda)G(f_*g)(z) + \lambda z(G(f_*g)(z))'} \right\} \geq \beta \left\{ \frac{z(G(f_*g)(z))' + \lambda z^2(G(f_*g)(z))''}{(1-\lambda)G(f_*g)(z) + \lambda z(G(f_*g)(z))'} - 1 \right\} + \theta, \quad (3)$$

where  $0 \leq \theta < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $z \in U$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad (b_n \geq 0) \quad (4)$$

The Hadamard product or (convolution) defined by next definition .

**Definition 1 :**

If  $f(z)$  defined by (2) and  $g(z)$  defined by (4) . Then the Hadamard product or (convolution) defined by the form :

$$(f_*g)(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n, \quad (a_n, b_n \geq 0) \quad (5)$$

and  $z \in U$  .

**Definition 2 : [3]**

Fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  of analytic function  $f(z)$  is defined by

$$D_z^\alpha f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^\alpha} dt, \quad (0 < \alpha < 1) \quad (6)$$

where  $f(z)$  is an analytic function in a simply – connected region of the  $z$  – plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of  $(z-t)^{-\alpha}$  is removed by requiring  $\log(z-t)$  to be real , when  $(z-t)$  is greater than zero . Clearly

$$f(z) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} D_z^\alpha f(z)$$

and  $f'(z) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} D_z^\alpha f(z)$  .

**Definition 3: [6]**

Fractional integral of order  $\alpha$  of analytic function  $f(z)$  is defined by

$$D_z^{-\alpha} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt \quad , \quad (7)$$

where  $f(z)$  is an analytic function in a simply – connected region of the  $z$  – plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of  $(z-t)^{\alpha-1}$  is removed by requiring  $\log(z-t)$  to be real , when  $(z-t) > 0$  .

**Definition 4: [6]**

[Under the condition of Definition 3] the fractional derivative of order  $n + \alpha$  ( $n = 0,1,2,\dots$ ) is defined by

$$D_z^{n+\alpha} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^\alpha f(z), \quad (8)$$

For the analytic function  $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  in  $U$  we put

$$\begin{aligned} Mf(z) &= \Gamma(2 + \alpha) z^{-\alpha} D_z^{-\alpha} f(z) \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n! \Gamma(2 + \alpha)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \alpha)} a_n z^n \quad , \quad \alpha > 0 \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} Gf(z) &= \Gamma(2 - \alpha) z^\alpha D_z^\alpha f(z) \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n! \Gamma(2 - \alpha)}{\Gamma(n + 1 - \alpha)} a_n z^n \quad , \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Then , from (10) we get

$$G(f_*g)(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n z^n \quad , \quad (11)$$

where 
$$\Psi(n, \alpha) = \frac{n! \Gamma(2 - \alpha)}{\Gamma(n + 1 - \alpha)} \quad (12)$$

**Lemma 2: [1]**

Let  $w = u + iv$  . Then  $\text{Re } w \geq \sigma$  if and only if

$$|w - (1 + \sigma)| \leq |w + (1 - \sigma)|$$

**Lemma 3: [1]**

Let  $w = u + iv$  and  $\sigma, \gamma$  are real numbers. Then

$$\text{Re } w > \sigma |w - 1| + \gamma \text{ if and only if } \text{Re} \{w(1 + \sigma e^{i\phi}) - \sigma e^{i\phi}\} > \gamma .$$

Some another class studied by W. G. Atshan and S. R. Kulkarni [2] ,S.Ponnusamy [4]H. M. Srivastava [5].

## 2. Coefficient Estimates

In the next theorem we get the sufficient condition for the function  $f(z)$  in the class  $H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$ .

### Theorem 1:

The function  $f(z)$  defined by (2) is in the class  $H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda + n\lambda)[n(1 + \beta) - (\beta + \theta)]\Psi(n, \alpha)a_n b_n \leq 1 - \theta, \quad (13)$$

where  $0 \leq \theta < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,

### Proof:

By Definition 3, we get

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(G(f_*g)(z))' + \lambda z^2(G(f_*g)(z))''}{(1 - \lambda)G(f_*g)(z) + \lambda z(G(f_*g)(z))'} \right\} \geq \beta \left\{ \frac{z(G(f_*g)(z))' + \lambda z^2(G(f_*g)(z))''}{(1 - \lambda)G(f_*g)(z) + \lambda z(G(f_*g)(z))'} - 1 \right\} + \theta,$$

Then by Lemma 2, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(G(f_*g)(z))' + \lambda z^2(G(f_*g)(z))''}{(1 - \lambda)(G(f_*g)(z) + \lambda z(G(f_*g)(z))')} (1 + \beta e^{i\gamma}) - \beta e^{i\gamma} \right\} \geq \theta, \\ -\pi < \gamma \leq \pi$$

or equivalently

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(z(G(f_*g)(z))' + \lambda z^2(G(f_*g)(z))''(1 + \beta e^{i\gamma}))}{(1 - \lambda)(G(f_*g)(z) + \lambda z(G(f_*g)(z))')} - \frac{\beta e^{i\gamma} [(1 - \lambda)(G(f_*g)(z) + \lambda z^2(G(f_*g)(z))')] }{(1 - \lambda)(G(f_*g)(z) + \lambda z(G(f_*g)(z))')} \right\} \geq \theta. \quad (14)$$

$$\text{Let } A(z) = [z(G(f_*g)(z))' + \lambda z^2(G(f_*g)(z))''(1 + \beta e^{i\gamma})] (1 + \beta e^{i\gamma}) \\ - \beta e^{i\gamma} [(1 - \lambda)(G(f_*g)(z) + \lambda z^2(G(f_*g)(z))')] ]$$

$$\text{and } B(z) = [(1 - \lambda)(G(f_*g)(z) + \lambda z(G(f_*g)(z))')]$$

By Lemma 1, (14) is equivalent to

$$|A(z) + (1 - \theta)B(z)| \geq |A(z) - (1 + \theta)B(z)| \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \theta < 1$$

$$\text{But } |A(z) + (1 - \theta)B(z)|$$

$$= \left| \left[ z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Psi(n, \alpha)a_n b_n z^n - \lambda \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\Psi(n, \alpha)a_n b_n z^n \right] (1 + \beta e^{i\gamma}) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\beta e^{i\gamma} \left[ (1-\lambda) \left( z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n z^n \right) + \lambda z - \lambda \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n z^n \right] \\
 & + (1-\theta) \left[ z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda + n\lambda) \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n z^n \right] \\
 & = \left| (2-\theta) z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n + \lambda n(n-1)) + (1-\theta)(1-\lambda + n\lambda)] \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n z^n \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \beta e^{i\gamma} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + \lambda n(n-1) - (1-\lambda + n\lambda)] \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n z^n \right| \\
 & \geq (2-\theta) |z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n + \lambda n(n-1)) + (1-\theta)(1-\lambda + n\lambda)] \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n |z|^n \\
 & \quad - \beta \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + \lambda n(n-2) - 1 + \lambda] \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n |z|^n
 \end{aligned}$$

Also  $|A(z) - (1+\theta)B(z)| = \left| \left[ z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n z^n \right. \right.$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \quad \left. - \lambda \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n z^n \right] (1 + \beta e^{i\gamma}) \\
 & - \beta e^{i\gamma} \left[ z - (1-\lambda) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n z^n - \lambda \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n z^n \right] \\
 & - (1+\theta) \left[ z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda + n\lambda) \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n z^n \right] \\
 & = \left| -\theta z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n + \lambda n(n-1)) - (1+\theta)(1-\lambda + n\lambda)] \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n z^n \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \beta e^{i\gamma} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + n\lambda(n-1) - (1-\lambda + n\lambda)] \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n z^n \right| \\
 & \leq \theta |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n + n\lambda(n-1)) - (1+\alpha)(1-\lambda + n\lambda)] \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n |z|^n \\
 & \quad + \beta \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + n\lambda(n-1) - (1-\lambda + n\lambda)] \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n |z|^n
 \end{aligned}$$

and so  $|A(z) + (1-\alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1+\alpha)B(z)| \geq 2(1-\theta)|z|$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(2n + 2n\lambda(n-1)) - 2\theta(1-\lambda + n\lambda) - \beta(2n + 2n\lambda(n-1)) \\
 & \quad - 2(1-\lambda + n\lambda)] \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n |z|^n \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+\beta) + n\lambda(n-1)(1+\beta) - (1-\lambda+n\lambda)(\theta+\beta)] \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta) - (\beta+\theta)] \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n \leq 1 - \theta.$$

Conversely, suppose that (2.1) holds. Then we must show

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(z(G(f_*g)(z))' + \lambda z^2(G(f_*g)(z))''(1 + \beta e^{i\gamma}))}{(1-\lambda)(G(f_*g)(z)) + \lambda z(G(f_*g)(z))'} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{(\theta + \beta e^{i\gamma})[(1-\lambda)(G(f_*g)(z)) + \lambda z^2(G(f_*g)(z))']}{(1-\lambda)(G(f_*g)(z)) + \lambda z(G(f_*g)(z))'} \right\} \geq 0.$$

Upon choosing the values of  $z$  on the positive real axis where  $0 \leq z = r < 1$ , the above inequality reduces to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-\theta) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1 + \beta e^{i\gamma})(1-\lambda + \lambda n) - (\theta + \beta e^{i\phi})(1-\lambda + n\lambda)] \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda + n\lambda) \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n r^{n-1}} \right\} \geq 0.$$

Since  $\operatorname{Re}(-e^{i\gamma}) \geq -|e^{i\gamma}| = -1$ , the above inequality reduces to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-\theta) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+\beta)(1-\lambda + \lambda n) - (\theta + \beta)(1-\lambda + n\lambda)] \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda + n\lambda) \Psi(n, \alpha) a_n b_n r^{n-1}} \right\} \geq 0.$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get desired conclusion.

**Corollary 1 :**

Let  $f(z) \in H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$ . Then  $a_n \leq \frac{1-\theta}{(1-\lambda+n\lambda)(n(1+\beta) - (\beta+\alpha)) \Psi(n, \alpha) b_n}$ ,

### 3. Distortion Theorem

In the next theorem, we obtain the distortion theorem for  $f(z) \in H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$ .

**Theorem 2:**

If  $f(z) \in H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$ . Then

$$|z| - |z|^2 \frac{(1-\theta)(3-\alpha)}{2(1+\lambda)[2(1+\beta) - (\beta+\theta)b_n]} \leq |f(z)| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \frac{(1-\theta)(3-\alpha)}{2(1+\lambda)[2(1+\beta) - (\beta+\theta)b_n]}$$

**Proof :**

Since  $|f(z)| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n$ ,

from (13) , we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{(1-\theta)(3-\alpha)}{2(1+\lambda)[2(1+\beta) - (\beta+\theta)b_n]} \quad , \tag{15}$$

hence

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \frac{(1-\theta)(3-\alpha)}{2(1+\lambda)[2(1+\beta) - (\beta+\theta)b_n]} .$$

Similarity , we get

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - |z|^2 \frac{(1-\theta)(3-\alpha)}{2(1+\lambda)[2(1+\beta) - (\beta+\theta)b_n]} .$$

In the next theorem , we shall prove that the class  $H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  is closed under arithmetic mean and convex linear combinations .

Now , we defined the function  $f_k(z)$  by the form

$$f_k(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,k} z^n \quad , \quad (a_{n,k} \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{16}$$

**Theorem 3:**

Let the function  $f_k(z)$  defined by (16) be in the class  $H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  for  $(k = 1, 2, \dots, m)$  . Then the function

$$\Phi(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \quad , \quad (c_n \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{17}$$

Also in the class  $H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  , where  $c_n = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m a_{n,k}$  .

**Proof :**

Let the function  $f_k(z) \in H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  , then from theorem 1 , we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta) - (\beta+\theta)]\Psi(n, \alpha) a_{n,k} b_n \leq 1-\theta \quad .$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta) - (\beta+\theta)]\Psi(n, \alpha) c_n b_n$$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\theta)]\Psi(n,\alpha)b_n \left[ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m a_{n,k} \right] \leq 1-\theta .$$

Hence  $\Phi(z) \in H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  .

**Theorem 4:**

The class  $H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  is closed under linear combinations .

**Proof :**

Let the function  $f_k(z)$  ( $k=1,2$ ) defined by (16) be in the class  $H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  . Now we show that the next function  $E(z) = \ell f_1(z) + (1-\ell)f_2(z)$  , ( $0 \leq \ell \leq 1$ ) is also in the class  $H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  . Since  $f_1(z) \in H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  then from (13) , we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\theta)]\Psi(n,\alpha)a_{n,1}b_n \leq 1-\theta .$$

And so  $f_2(z) \in H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  then from (13) we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\theta)]\Psi(n,\alpha)a_{n,2}b_n \leq 1-\theta .$$

Then

$$E(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\ell a_{n,1} + (1-\ell)a_{n,2}]z^n .$$

Therefore by Theorem 1, we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda+n\lambda)[n(1+\beta)-(\beta+\theta)]\Psi(n,\alpha)b_n [\ell a_{n,1} + (1-\ell)a_{n,2}] \leq 1-\theta .$$

Hence by Theorem 1, we have  $E(z) \in H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  .

**Theorem 5:** Let the function  $f_k(z)$  defined by (16) be in the class

$H(\alpha, \beta_k, \theta_k, \lambda_k)$  where ( $0 \leq \theta_k \leq 1, \beta_k \geq 0, 0 < \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, n \geq 2$ ) . For each ( $k=1,2,\dots,m$ ) , then the function

$$S(z) = z - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^m a_{n,k} \right] z^n$$

is also in the class  $H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  , where

$$\beta = \min_{1 \leq k \leq m} \{\beta_k\} , \quad \theta = \min_{1 \leq k \leq m} \{\theta_k\} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \min_{1 \leq k \leq m} \{\lambda_k\} .$$



**Proof :** Let the functions  $f_k(z) \in H(\alpha, \beta_k, \theta_k, \lambda_k)$ , then from Theorem 1 we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda_k + n\lambda_k)[n(1 + \beta_k) - (\beta_k + \theta_k)]\Psi(n, \alpha)a_{n,k}b_n \leq 1 - \theta_k ,$$

hence

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda_k + n\lambda_k)[n(1 + \beta_k) - (\beta_k + \theta_k)]\Psi(n, \alpha)b_n \left[ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m a_{n,k} \right] \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m (1 - \theta_k) \leq 1 - \theta .$$

Therefore  $S(z) \in H(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \lambda)$  .

**REFERENCES**

[1] E. S. Aqlan , Some Problems Connected with Geometric Function Theory , Ph. D. Thesis (2004), Pune University, Pune .

[2] W. G. Atshan and S. R. Kulkarni ,Some applications of generalized Ruscheweyh derivatives involving a general fractional derivative operator to a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients I, J. Surveys in Mathematics and its. Applications, 5(2010),35-47.

[3] Owa, S.: On distortion theorems. I. Kyungpook Math. J. 18 (1978), 55-59..

[4] S. Ponnusamy ,Convolution properties of some classes of meromorphic univalent functions ,Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. ,103(1993), 73-89.

[5] H. M. Srivastava , Distortion Inequalities for analytic and univalent functions associated with certain fractional calculus and other linear operator (In Analytic and Geometric Inequalities and Applications eds. T.M. Rassias and H. M. Srivastava ), Kluwar Academic Publishers , 478 (1999),349-374.

[6] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Eds.), Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 1992.

Received: May, 2011